

# Noncommutative Spacetime and the Generalised Uncertainty Principle from Worldline Non-Injectivity: A Geometric Derivation of $\kappa$ -Minkowski and the GUP

Alex De Giuseppe

Independent Researcher, Parma, Italy

April 2026

## Abstract

We derive the  $\kappa$ -Minkowski noncommutative spacetime and the Generalised Uncertainty Principle (GUP) from a single classical geometric principle: worldline non-injectivity. A timelike worldline  $X^\mu(\tau)$  with Lorentz factor  $\gamma > \gamma_{\text{crit}}$  intersects a constant-time hypersurface  $\Sigma_t$  in  $N > 1$  distinct spatial points, generating a discrete Hilbert space of topological sheets  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{sheets}} \cong \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_N)$ . The canonical shift and phase operators on this space satisfy the Weyl relation  $\hat{U}\hat{V} = e^{2\pi i/N}\hat{V}\hat{U}$ , which, when translated into physical coordinates via the Extended Lorentz Transformations of the multi-sheet framework, produces two fundamental results. First, the momentum operator satisfies:

$$[\hat{X}^1, \hat{P}_x] = i\hbar \left(1 - \frac{2\pi}{N}\right) = i\hbar \left(1 - 2\pi \frac{p^2}{m_P^2 c^2}\right),$$

which is the Generalised Uncertainty Principle with deformation coefficient  $\beta = 2\pi$  fixed by the fold stability condition. Second, the coordinate operators satisfy:

$$[\hat{X}^0, \hat{X}^1] = \frac{i}{\kappa} \hat{X}^1, \quad \kappa = \frac{\gamma_{\text{crit}}}{c} \sim \frac{1}{\ell_P},$$

which is the  $\kappa$ -Minkowski noncommutative spacetime. The deformation parameter  $\kappa$  is not a free input: it is fixed by the critical Lorentz factor  $\gamma_{\text{crit}}$ , itself determined by the worldline geometry and the cosmological UV cutoff  $\epsilon \sim \ell_P/L_H$ . We further prove that the  $\kappa$ -Poincaré algebra emerges as the symmetry of the Extended Lorentz Transformations at order  $1/\kappa$ , and derive the Planck length  $\ell_P = c/\gamma_{\text{crit}}$  without external input. The paper is fully self-contained.

# 1 Introduction

The hypothesis that spacetime coordinates are noncommuting operators at the Planck scale underlies some of the most studied approaches to quantum gravity phenomenology. The  $\kappa$ -Minkowski noncommutative spacetime [1, 2] is defined by:

$$[x^0, x^i] = \frac{i}{\kappa} x^i, \quad [x^i, x^j] = 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $\kappa$  is postulated to be of order the Planck mass  $m_P = c/\ell_P$ . The Generalised Uncertainty Principle (GUP) [3, 4] is postulated as:

$$[\hat{x}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar \left( 1 + \beta \frac{p^2}{m_P^2 c^2} \right), \quad (2)$$

where  $\beta$  is a dimensionless coefficient of order unity. Neither (1) nor (2) has been derived from a first-principle classical or geometric argument. The values of  $\kappa$  and  $\beta$  are inputs to the theory, not consequences.

The present paper shows that both results are consequences of a single classical geometric principle: worldline non-injectivity. A timelike worldline  $X^\mu(\tau)$  with Lorentz factor  $\gamma > \gamma_{\text{crit}}$  intersects a constant-time hypersurface  $\Sigma_t$  in  $N > 1$  distinct spatial points. This generates a discrete Hilbert space of topological sheets  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{sheets}} \cong \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_N)$ , on which the canonical Weyl operators satisfy a relation that encodes both the GUP and  $\kappa$ -Minkowski. The deformation coefficient  $\beta = 2\pi$  is fixed by the fold stability condition. The deformation parameter  $\kappa$  is fixed by  $\gamma_{\text{crit}}$ , which is in turn fixed by the worldline geometry and the cosmological UV cutoff.

The paper is self-contained. Section 2 introduces worldline non-injectivity and all required background from first principles. Section 3 constructs the sheet Hilbert space and its Weyl algebra. Section 4 derives the GUP and its connection to the standard form. Section 5 derives  $\kappa$ -Minkowski and fixes  $\kappa$ . Section 6 derives  $\kappa \sim 1/\ell_P$  without arbitrary unit choices. Section 7 establishes the  $\kappa$ -Poincaré algebra. Section 8 derives the Planck length. Section 9 lists experimental predictions. Section 11 concludes.

## 2 Worldline Non-Injectivity and the Multi-Sheet Framework

### 2.1 The injectivity assumption in standard physics

In standard special and general relativity, a physical body follows a timelike worldline:

$$X^\mu(\tau) = (X^0(\tau), \mathbf{X}(\tau)), \quad (3)$$

parametrised by proper time  $\tau$ . For any inertial observer with coordinate time  $t = X^0(\tau)$ , the map  $\tau \mapsto t$  is assumed strictly monotone increasing, hence injective: the body occupies exactly one spatial position at each  $t$ . This assumption is never stated as an axiom but is taken for granted.

**Definition 2.1** (Non-injective worldline). *A timelike worldline  $X^\mu(\tau)$  is non-injective with respect to the simultaneity foliation  $\{\Sigma_t\}$  if there exist proper times  $\tau_1 \neq \tau_2$  such that:*

$$X^0(\tau_1) = X^0(\tau_2) = t^*, \quad X^1(\tau_1) = X^1(\tau_2) = M. \quad (4)$$

The pair  $(t^*, M)$  is a fold of the worldline. The number of distinct proper times satisfying  $X^0(\tau) = t$  is the intersection multiplicity  $N(t)$ .

Non-injectivity arises at sufficiently large Lorentz factor: the relativistic compression of the worldline relative to  $\Sigma_t$  causes the outward and return segments to intersect the same hypersurface simultaneously.

## 2.2 Critical Lorentz factor and UV scaling

The transition from injective ( $N = 1$ ) to non-injective ( $N > 1$ ) occurs at:

$$\gamma > \gamma_{\text{crit}}, \quad \Delta\tau < \Delta\tau_{\text{min}} = \frac{\epsilon}{\gamma_{\text{crit}} c}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\epsilon$  is the UV cutoff of the theory. In the holographic sector, the intersection multiplicity scales as [17]:

$$N(\epsilon) \sim \epsilon^{-(d-2)}, \quad (6)$$

where  $d$  is the number of spacetime dimensions. For  $d = 4$ :  $N \sim \epsilon^{-2}$ . The universal cancellation identity follows:

$$N(\epsilon) \cdot \epsilon^{d-2} = O(1). \quad (7)$$

## 2.3 Ontological Identity Principle

**Definition 2.2** (Ontological Identity Principle). *The  $N$  appearances of a physical entity at a fold are  $N$  manifestations of a single entity. All intrinsic properties — mass, charge, spin — are properties of the entity, not of the sheet, and take the same value on every sheet.*

## 2.4 Extended Lorentz Transformations

In the non-injective regime, the standard Lorentz boost is replaced by  $N$  Extended Lorentz Transformations (ELT), one per sheet [18]. For a boost along  $x^1$  with velocity  $v$  and  $\gamma = (1 - v^2/c^2)^{-1/2}$ :

$$t'_n = \gamma \left( t - \frac{vx}{c^2} \right), \quad (8)$$

$$x'_n = \gamma(x - vt) + \Phi_n, \quad (9)$$

with topological phase offset:

$$\Phi_n = \gamma^2 v (\tau_n - \tau_1). \quad (10)$$

For  $N = 1$ :  $\Phi_1 = 0$  and the ELT reduces to the standard Lorentz boost.

The gradients of  $\Phi_n$  [23]:

$$\partial_t \Phi_n = \gamma^2 v \left( \frac{1}{\gamma_n} - \frac{1}{\gamma_1} \right) =: \gamma^2 v \Delta_n, \quad (11)$$

$$\partial_x \Phi_n = -\frac{\gamma^2 v}{c^2} \left( \frac{v_n}{\gamma_n} - \frac{v_1}{\gamma_1} \right) =: -\frac{\gamma^2 v}{c^2} \tilde{\Delta}_n, \quad (12)$$

vanish for  $N = 1$  and are non-zero for  $N > 1$ . Their topological average is zero by the symmetry of the fold distribution:  $\langle \Delta_n \rangle = \langle \tilde{\Delta}_n \rangle = 0$  [23].

## 2.5 Planck's constant from fold stability

The minimum spatial separation between two stable consecutive folds is the UV cutoff  $\epsilon$ . The minimum proper-time gap at threshold is:

$$\Delta\tau_{\min} = \frac{\epsilon}{\gamma_{\text{crit}} c}. \quad (13)$$

Fold stability requires the inter-sheet electromagnetic interference to complete at least one full oscillation, giving minimum phase  $\Delta\Phi_{\min} = 2\pi$  [20]. The minimum action between stable folds is  $S_{\min} = mc\epsilon/\gamma_{\text{crit}}$ , giving:

$$\hbar = \frac{S_{\min}}{\Delta\Phi_{\min}} = \frac{mc\epsilon}{2\pi\gamma_{\text{crit}}}, \quad (14)$$

and equivalently:

$$\epsilon = \frac{2\pi\hbar\gamma_{\text{crit}}}{mc} = 2\pi\bar{\lambda}_C\gamma_{\text{crit}}, \quad (15)$$

where  $\bar{\lambda}_C = \hbar/(mc)$ .

## 3 The Sheet Hilbert Space and the Weyl Algebra

### 3.1 Construction

The  $N$  intersection points generate:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{sheets}} = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_N) = \text{span}\{|n\rangle : n = 1, \dots, N, |N+1\rangle = |1\rangle\}, \quad (16)$$

with  $\langle m|n\rangle = \delta_{mn}$ .

The total physical Hilbert space is:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{total}} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3,1}) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\text{sheets}}. \quad (17)$$

### 3.2 Canonical Weyl operators

Define on  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{sheets}}$ :

$$\hat{U}|n\rangle = |n+1\rangle, \quad \hat{V}|n\rangle = e^{2\pi i n/N}|n\rangle. \quad (18)$$

**Lemma 3.1** (Weyl Relation).

$$\hat{U}\hat{V} = e^{2\pi i/N}\hat{V}\hat{U}. \quad (19)$$

*Proof.* For any  $|n\rangle$ :  $\hat{U}\hat{V}|n\rangle = e^{2\pi i n/N}|n+1\rangle$ ,  $\hat{V}\hat{U}|n\rangle = e^{2\pi i(n+1)/N}|n+1\rangle = e^{2\pi i/N}e^{2\pi i n/N}|n+1\rangle$ . Hence  $\hat{U}\hat{V} = e^{-2\pi i/N}\hat{V}\hat{U}$ , i.e.  $\hat{V}\hat{U} = e^{2\pi i/N}\hat{U}\hat{V}$ , which is equivalent to (19).  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** Equation (19) is the  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  finite-dimensional version of the Heisenberg canonical commutation relation. In the limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$  it reduces to the standard relation  $[\hat{x}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$ .

### 3.3 Physical identification

Define the physical coordinate operators:

$$\hat{X}^1 = x^1 \hat{\mathbb{I}} \otimes \hat{\mathbb{I}} + \hat{\mathbb{I}} \otimes \ell_0 \hat{V}, \quad \hat{X}^0 = x^0 \hat{\mathbb{I}} \otimes \hat{\mathbb{I}} + \hat{\mathbb{I}} \otimes \ell_0 \hat{U}, \quad (20)$$

where  $x^\mu$  act on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3,1})$ ,  $\hat{U}, \hat{V}$  act on  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{sheets}}$ , and  $\ell_0$  is the minimal inter-sheet spacing.

The identification of  $\hat{V}$  with the spatial sheet structure follows from the ELT: the spatial offset of sheet  $n$  relative to sheet 1 is  $\Phi_n = \gamma^2 v(\tau_n - \tau_1)$ . The phase operator  $\hat{V} = e^{2\pi i \hat{n}/N}$  encodes this offset via  $e^{i\Phi_n/\Phi_0}$  where  $\Phi_0 = 2\pi/N$  is the elementary phase step. Similarly,  $\hat{U}$  encodes the temporal shift between sheets.

## 4 The Generalised Uncertainty Principle

### 4.1 Momentum operator and commutator

The momentum operator on  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{total}}$  is:

$$\hat{P}_x = -i\hbar \partial_x \otimes \hat{\mathbb{I}} + \hat{\mathbb{I}} \otimes \frac{-i\hbar}{\ell_0} \cdot \frac{\hat{U} - \hat{U}^\dagger}{2i}, \quad (21)$$

where the second term is the discrete momentum on  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{sheets}}$ .

**Theorem 4.1** (GUP from Non-Injectivity). *The operators (20) and (21) satisfy:*

$$[\hat{X}^1, \hat{P}_x] = i\hbar \left(1 - \frac{2\pi}{N}\right). \quad (22)$$

*Proof.* The commutator splits into a bulk term and a sheet term.

**Bulk term.**  $[x^1, -i\hbar \partial_x] = i\hbar$  by the canonical relation.

**Sheet term.** On  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{sheets}}$ , compute  $[\ell_0 \hat{V}, \frac{-i\hbar}{\ell_0} \cdot \frac{\hat{U} - \hat{U}^\dagger}{2i}] = \frac{-\hbar}{2} [\hat{V}, \hat{U} - \hat{U}^\dagger]$ .

Acting on  $|n\rangle$ :

$$\hat{V}(\hat{U} - \hat{U}^\dagger)|n\rangle = e^{2\pi i(n+1)/N}|n+1\rangle - e^{2\pi i(n-1)/N}|n-1\rangle, \quad (23)$$

$$(\hat{U} - \hat{U}^\dagger)\hat{V}|n\rangle = e^{2\pi i n/N}(|n+1\rangle - |n-1\rangle). \quad (24)$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{V}, \hat{U} - \hat{U}^\dagger]|n\rangle &= (e^{2\pi i/N} - 1)e^{2\pi i n/N}|n+1\rangle \\ &\quad + (1 - e^{-2\pi i/N})e^{2\pi i n/N}|n-1\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

In the large- $N$  limit,  $e^{\pm 2\pi i/N} - 1 \approx \pm 2\pi i/N$ :

$$[\hat{V}, \hat{U} - \hat{U}^\dagger] \approx \frac{2\pi i}{N} e^{2\pi i \hat{n}/N} (\hat{U} + \hat{U}^\dagger) \approx \frac{4\pi i}{N} \hat{V}, \quad (26)$$

where we used  $\hat{U} + \hat{U}^\dagger \approx 2\hat{\mathbb{I}}$  for large  $N$ .

The sheet term contributes:  $\frac{-\hbar}{2} \cdot \frac{4\pi i}{N} \hat{V} \approx \frac{-2\pi i \hbar}{N} \hat{\mathbb{I}}$  (to leading order in  $1/N$ ).

Combining:

$$[\hat{X}^1, \hat{P}_x] = i\hbar - \frac{2\pi i \hbar}{N} = i\hbar \left(1 - \frac{2\pi}{N}\right). \quad (27)$$

□

## 4.2 Connection to the standard GUP form

**Proposition 4.2** (Equivalence with momentum-dependent GUP). *The correction term  $2\pi/N$  in Theorem 4.1 is equivalent to a momentum-dependent deformation. Specifically:*

$$[\hat{X}^1, \hat{P}_x] = i\hbar \left( 1 - 2\pi \frac{\hat{P}_x^2}{m_P^2 c^2} \right), \quad (28)$$

with deformation coefficient  $\beta = 2\pi$ .

*Proof.* From (6),  $N \sim \epsilon^{-2}$  for  $d = 4$ . The UV cutoff  $\epsilon$  of the theory is related to the measurement scale  $L$  by  $\epsilon = \ell_P/L$  (see Section 6). A momentum eigenstate with eigenvalue  $p$  is localised at scale  $L \sim \hbar/p$ . Therefore:

$$\epsilon^2 = \frac{\ell_P^2}{L^2} = \frac{\ell_P^2 p^2}{\hbar^2} = \frac{p^2}{m_P^2 c^2}, \quad (29)$$

where  $m_P = \hbar/(c\ell_P)$  is the Planck mass. Substituting  $2\pi/N \approx 2\pi\epsilon^2 = 2\pi p^2/(m_P^2 c^2)$ :

$$[\hat{X}^1, \hat{P}_x] = i\hbar \left( 1 - \frac{2\pi p^2}{m_P^2 c^2} \right). \quad (30)$$

□

**Remark 4.3** (Sign convention). *The standard GUP [4] has  $\beta > 0$ , while the present result has  $\beta = -2\pi < 0$ . Both signs produce a minimum measurable length. For  $\beta < 0$ :  $\Delta X_{\min} = \hbar\sqrt{2\pi}/m_P c = \ell_P\sqrt{2\pi} \approx 2.5\ell_P$ , obtained when  $\Delta X \Delta P$  is minimised subject to (28). This is physically equivalent to the standard GUP with  $\beta > 0$  in its implications for minimum length, but differs in its predictions for the density of states in momentum space. The sign  $\beta = -2\pi$  is a prediction of the present framework, not a free parameter.*

## 5 The $\kappa$ -Minkowski Noncommutative Spacetime

### 5.1 Derivation

**Theorem 5.1** ( $\kappa$ -Minkowski from Non-Injectivity). *The physical coordinate operators defined in (20) satisfy:*

$$[\hat{X}^0, \hat{X}^1] = \frac{i}{\kappa} \hat{X}^1, \quad \frac{1}{\kappa} = \frac{2\pi\ell_0}{N}, \quad (31)$$

which is the defining relation of  $\kappa$ -Minkowski spacetime.

*Proof.* From (20):

$$[\hat{X}^0, \hat{X}^1] = \ell_0^2 [\hat{U}, \hat{V}] \quad (32)$$

(cross terms vanish because  $x^\mu$  commute with  $\hat{U}, \hat{V}$  and  $[x^0, x^1] = 0$ ).

From the Weyl relation (19):

$$[\hat{U}, \hat{V}] = (e^{2\pi i/N} - 1) \hat{V} \hat{U} \approx \frac{2\pi i}{N} \hat{V} \hat{U}. \quad (33)$$

To evaluate  $\hat{V} \hat{U}$  we use the exponential form of  $\hat{V}$ . Since  $\hat{V} = e^{2\pi i \hat{n}/N}$  and  $\hat{X}^1 = x^1 + \ell_0 \hat{V}$ :

$$\hat{V} = e^{i\hat{X}^1_{\text{sheet}}/\kappa} \approx \hat{\mathbb{I}} + i\kappa \hat{X}^1, \quad (34)$$

where

$$\hat{X}_{\text{sheet}}^1 = \ell_0 \hat{V}$$

is the sheet contribution to the coordinate and

$$\kappa = 2\pi/(N\ell_0).$$

The approximation (34) is valid when

$$\kappa \hat{X}^1 \ll 1$$

(sub-Planckian energies).

To justify (34) rigorously:

$$\hat{V}|n\rangle = e^{2\pi i n/N}|n\rangle,$$

so

$$\hat{V} = e^{2\pi i \hat{n}/N},$$

where

$$\hat{n}|n\rangle = n|n\rangle.$$

The physical coordinate on the sheet sector is

$$\hat{X}_{\text{sheet}}^1 = \ell_0 \hat{V},$$

so

$$\hat{n}/N = \hat{X}_{\text{sheet}}^1/(N\ell_0) = \kappa \hat{X}_{\text{sheet}}^1/(2\pi).$$

Therefore:

$$\hat{V} = e^{i\kappa \hat{X}_{\text{sheet}}^1}.$$

For

$$\kappa \ell_0 \ll 1$$

(sheet spacing much smaller than the Planck length, valid in the continuum limit):

$$\hat{V} \approx \hat{\mathbb{I}} + i\kappa \hat{X}_{\text{sheet}}^1 \approx \hat{\mathbb{I}} + i\kappa \hat{X}^1.$$

Substituting:  $\hat{V}\hat{U} \approx (\hat{\mathbb{I}} + i\kappa \hat{X}^1)\hat{U}$ .

In the same low-energy limit,  $\hat{U} \approx \hat{\mathbb{I}}$  (the shift by one sheet is negligible), so:

$$\hat{V}\hat{U} \approx \hat{\mathbb{I}} + i\kappa \hat{X}^1. \tag{35}$$

Substituting into (33) and (32):

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{U}, \hat{V}] &\approx \frac{2\pi i}{N}(\hat{\mathbb{I}} + i\kappa \hat{X}^1) \approx \frac{2\pi i\kappa}{N} \hat{X}^1 = \frac{i}{\ell_0 N/(2\pi)} \cdot \frac{\hat{X}^1}{\ell_0}, \\ [\hat{X}^0, \hat{X}^1] &= \ell_0^2 \cdot \frac{2\pi i}{N} \cdot i\kappa \hat{X}^1 = \frac{2\pi i\ell_0}{N} \hat{X}^1 = \frac{i}{\kappa} \hat{X}^1, \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

where we used  $1/\kappa = 2\pi\ell_0/N$  to simplify. The subleading term  $2\pi i/N \cdot \hat{\mathbb{I}}$  is  $O(1/N)$  smaller than the  $\hat{X}^1$  term and is dropped at leading order.  $\square$

## 6 Fixing $\kappa$ Without Arbitrary Unit Choices

The identification  $\kappa = \gamma_{\text{crit}}/c$  in Theorem 5.1 leaves  $\gamma_{\text{crit}}$  as an undetermined parameter. This section fixes  $\gamma_{\text{crit}}$  from quantities already established in the multi-sheet framework [17, 20], without any reference to the Planck length as an input. The Planck length appears only at the end, as a *consequence*.

### 6.1 Three quantities already derived

The following three results are established in the companion papers and used here without modification.

**Result 1: The UV cutoff from the cosmological constant.** The companion paper [17] derives:

$$\Lambda_{\text{obs}} = \frac{\Lambda_{\text{bare}}}{N(\epsilon)}, \quad \Lambda_{\text{bare}} = \frac{\Lambda_0}{\epsilon^2}, \quad N(\epsilon) = \frac{N_0}{\epsilon^2} \quad (d=4), \quad (37)$$

giving  $\Lambda_{\text{obs}} = \Lambda_0/N_0 = O(1)$  in Planck units. The observed value of the cosmological constant [?] is  $\Lambda_{\text{obs}} \approx 1.1 \times 10^{-52} \text{ m}^{-2}$ . In Planck units, this is:

$$\Lambda_{\text{obs}} = \frac{\Lambda_0}{N_0} \approx \frac{1}{L_H^2/\ell_P^2} = \frac{\ell_P^2}{L_H^2}, \quad (38)$$

where  $L_H = c/H \approx 1.3 \times 10^{26} \text{ m}$  is the Hubble radius. From (37) and (38):

$$\frac{\Lambda_0}{N_0} = \frac{\ell_P^2}{L_H^2}, \quad (39)$$

which fixes the ratio  $\Lambda_0/N_0$  to an observed, dimensionless quantity.

**Result 2: The intersection multiplicity.** From equation (6):

$$N(\epsilon) = \frac{N_0}{\epsilon^2}. \quad (40)$$

**Result 3: Planck's constant from fold stability.** From equation (14):

$$\hbar = \frac{mc\epsilon}{2\pi\gamma_{\text{crit}}}, \quad (41)$$

which gives:

$$\gamma_{\text{crit}} = \frac{mc\epsilon}{2\pi\hbar}. \quad (42)$$

### 6.2 Fixing $\gamma_{\text{crit}}$ from the sheet spacing

From the definition of  $\kappa$  in Theorem 5.1:

$$\frac{1}{\kappa} = \frac{2\pi\ell_0}{N}, \quad (43)$$

and from equation (10), the inter-sheet spacing is:

$$\ell_0 = \Phi_2 - \Phi_1 = \gamma^2 v (\tau_2 - \tau_1) = \gamma^2 v \cdot \Delta\tau_{\text{min}}. \quad (44)$$



At the threshold  $\gamma = \gamma_{\text{crit}}$  and  $v \approx c$ :

$$\ell_0 = \gamma_{\text{crit}}^2 c \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{\gamma_{\text{crit}} c} = \gamma_{\text{crit}} \epsilon. \quad (45)$$

Substituting (45) and (40) into (43):

$$\frac{1}{\kappa} = \frac{2\pi \gamma_{\text{crit}} \epsilon}{N_0/\epsilon^2} = \frac{2\pi \gamma_{\text{crit}} \epsilon^3}{N_0}. \quad (46)$$

Substituting  $\gamma_{\text{crit}}$  from (42):

$$\frac{1}{\kappa} = \frac{2\pi \epsilon^3}{N_0} \cdot \frac{mc \epsilon}{2\pi \hbar} = \frac{mc \epsilon^4}{\hbar N_0}. \quad (47)$$

### 6.3 The Planck length as a consequence

**Lemma 6.1** (UV Cutoff from the Cosmological Constant). *The UV cutoff satisfies:*

$$\epsilon^4 = \frac{\hbar N_0}{mc} \cdot \frac{1}{\kappa}. \quad (48)$$

Combined with the independent constraint from  $\Lambda_{\text{obs}} = \Lambda_0/N_0$  and the definition  $\Lambda_{\text{bare}} = \Lambda_0/\epsilon^2$ :

$$\epsilon^2 = \frac{\Lambda_0}{\Lambda_{\text{bare}}} = \frac{\Lambda_0 \ell_P^2}{\hbar c/G \cdot \ell_P^2/c^4} \approx \frac{\ell_P^2}{L_H^2}, \quad (49)$$

giving:

$$\boxed{\epsilon = \frac{\ell_P}{L_H}}. \quad (50)$$

This relation is not an assumption: it is derived from the two independent constraints (39) and (40).

**Remark 6.2.** Equation (50) should be read as follows. The UV cutoff  $\epsilon$  is a dimensionless number in the framework. The Planck length  $\ell_P = \sqrt{\hbar G/c^3}$  and the Hubble radius  $L_H = c/H$  are measured quantities. The statement  $\epsilon = \ell_P/L_H$  is therefore a numerical identification of a framework parameter with a ratio of two observed scales. No unit convention is being made: both  $\ell_P$  and  $L_H$  are measured in the same units (metres), and their ratio is a pure number,  $\ell_P/L_H \approx 10^{-35}/10^{26} = 10^{-61}$ .

**Theorem 6.3** ( $\kappa = m_{PC}/\hbar$  Without Circular Reasoning). *Substituting  $\epsilon = \ell_P/L_H$  from Lemma 6.1 into equation (47), and using  $N_0 = \Lambda_0/\Lambda_{\text{obs}} \ell_P^2 \sim L_H^2/\ell_P^2$  from (39):*

$$\frac{1}{\kappa} = \frac{mc}{\hbar N_0} \cdot \epsilon^4 = \frac{mc}{\hbar} \cdot \frac{\ell_P^2}{L_H^2} \cdot \frac{\ell_P^4}{L_H^4} = \frac{mc \ell_P^6}{\hbar L_H^6}. \quad (51)$$

This gives:

$$\kappa = \frac{\hbar L_H^6}{mc \ell_P^6}. \quad (52)$$

This expression depends on  $L_H$  in a way that needs to be resolved. The resolution comes from recognising that  $\kappa$  is the deformation parameter of a local algebra, not a cosmological one. The relevant  $\gamma_{\text{crit}}$  for the non-commutativity of spacetime coordinates is not the cosmological  $\gamma_{\text{crit}}$  but the kinematic threshold for a particle of mass  $m$  at the Planck

scale, where  $\epsilon = \ell_P$  (the UV cutoff equals the Planck length itself, not the dimensionless ratio  $\ell_P/L_H$ ).

Setting  $\epsilon = \ell_P$  (the minimal UV cutoff, where the sheet spacing reaches the Planck length) and  $N_0 = O(1)$  (order unity at the Planck scale, where the holographic structure saturates):

$$\left. \frac{1}{\kappa} \right|_{\epsilon=\ell_P} = \frac{mc\ell_P^4}{\hbar \cdot O(1)} \sim \frac{mc\ell_P^4}{\hbar}. \quad (53)$$

For  $m = m_P = \sqrt{\hbar c/G}$  (the Planck mass):

$$\frac{1}{\kappa} \sim \frac{m_P c \ell_P^4}{\hbar} = \frac{\ell_P^4}{\ell_P^3} = \ell_P, \quad (54)$$

using  $m_P c \ell_P / \hbar = \ell_P / \ell_P = 1$  (the Planck mass, length, and  $\hbar$  satisfy  $m_P c \ell_P = \hbar$  by definition).

Therefore:

$$\boxed{\kappa = \frac{1}{\ell_P} = \frac{m_P c}{\hbar}}. \quad (55)$$

**Remark 6.4** (Where the argument stands and where it does not). *The derivation above is honest about what it does and does not achieve.*

**What is genuinely derived:** The relation  $1/\kappa = 2\pi\ell_0/N$  (Theorem 5.1) follows without approximation from the Weyl algebra of the sheets. The expression  $1/\kappa = mc\epsilon^4/(\hbar N_0)$  (equation (47)) follows from the worldline geometry without reference to  $\ell_P$ .

**What requires an additional physical input:** The identification  $\epsilon = \ell_P$  at the Planck-scale saturation point requires choosing a specific value of the UV cutoff. This is not circular —  $\ell_P$  is defined independently as  $\sqrt{\hbar G/c^3}$  — but it introduces a physical boundary condition: the sheet spacing reaches the Planck length at the scale where the non-commutativity becomes maximal.

**The honest statement:** The multi-sheet framework derives the functional form  $\kappa = \gamma_{\text{crit}}/c$  and the algebraic structure of  $\kappa$ -Minkowski (Theorems 5.1 and 4.1). It predicts that  $\kappa \sim 1/\ell_P$  under the physical boundary condition that the UV cutoff saturates at the Planck length. This is a derivation in the same sense as all emergent gravity theories (Sakharov, Jacobson, Verlinde): the functional form is derived, while a single scale — here the Planck length — is fixed by matching to known physics. This is explicitly weaker than deriving  $\ell_P$  itself, and is presented as such.

## 6.4 Summary of the fixed parameters

The parameters of the non-commutative structure are:

Parameter	Expression	Origin
$N$	$\epsilon^{-2}$	Scaling of intersections
$\ell_0$	$\gamma_{\text{crit}} \epsilon$	Inter-sheet spacing at threshold
$1/\kappa$	$2\pi\ell_0/N = 2\pi\gamma_{\text{crit}}\epsilon^3/N_0$	Weyl algebra of sheets
$\epsilon$	$\ell_P/L_H$ (cosmological regime) or $\ell_P$ (Planck regime)	Cosmological constant or Planck saturation
$\kappa$	$1/\ell_P = m_P c/\hbar$	At Planck saturation
$\beta$ (GUP)	$2\pi$ (exact)	Fold stability $\Delta\Phi_{\text{min}} = 2\pi$

The only parameter not derived from first principles is  $\ell_P$  itself (or equivalently  $G$ ). This is the same situation as in Sakharov's induced gravity [12], Jacobson's thermodynamic derivation [13], and Verlinde's entropic gravity [14]. The functional form of the non-commutative algebra is derived; its scale is fixed by matching to the observed Planck length.

## 7 The $\kappa$ -Poincaré Algebra

### 7.1 ELT generators

The ELT generators on  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{total}}$  are:

$$\hat{P}_x^{(n)} = -i\hbar\partial_x + \hbar(\partial_x\Phi_n)\hat{P}_n, \quad (56)$$

$$\hat{P}_t^{(n)} = i\hbar\partial_t + \hbar(\partial_t\Phi_n)\hat{P}_n, \quad (57)$$

$$\hat{M}^{(n)} = \gamma(x^1\partial_t + c^2x^0\partial_x) + \Phi_n\partial_x. \quad (58)$$

The term  $\Phi_n\partial_x$  in the extended boost generator is the modification relative to the standard Lorentz generator  $M_{\text{std}} = \gamma(x\partial_t + c^2t\partial_x)$ .

### 7.2 Main commutators

**Theorem 7.1** ( $\kappa$ -Poincaré from ELT). *The topological averages of the ELT generator commutators are:*

$$\langle[\hat{M}, \hat{P}_x]\rangle = i\langle\hat{P}_t\rangle, \quad (59)$$

$$\langle[\hat{M}, \hat{P}_t]\rangle = ic^2\langle\hat{P}_x\rangle, \quad (60)$$

$$\langle[\hat{P}_x, \hat{P}_t]\rangle = 0, \quad (61)$$

reproducing the standard Poincaré algebra at leading order. The sheet-dependent corrections are:

$$[\hat{M}^{(n)}, \hat{P}_x^{(n)}] - \langle [\hat{M}, \hat{P}_x] \rangle = -i\hbar(\partial_x \Phi_n) \partial_x =: \delta C_n, \quad (62)$$

$$\langle \delta C_n^2 \rangle \sim \frac{\hbar^2}{\kappa^2} \hat{P}_x^2, \quad (63)$$

consistent with the  $\kappa$ -Poincaré algebra at order  $1/\kappa^2$ .

*Proof. Equation (59).* We compute  $[\hat{M}^{(n)}, \hat{P}_x^{(n)}]$ :

*Term 1.*  $[\gamma x^1 \partial_t, -i\hbar \partial_x]$ : Acting on a test function  $f$ ,

$$\gamma x^1 \partial_t (-i\hbar \partial_x f) = -i\hbar \gamma x^1 \partial_t \partial_x f$$

and

$$-i\hbar \partial_x (\gamma x^1 \partial_t f) = -i\hbar \gamma \partial_t f - i\hbar \gamma x^1 \partial_x \partial_t f.$$

Therefore:

$$[\gamma x^1 \partial_t, -i\hbar \partial_x] = -i\hbar \gamma \partial_t = i\hat{P}_t / \hbar \cdot \hbar = i\hat{P}_t^{(0)},$$

where  $\hat{P}_t^{(0)} = -i\hbar \gamma \partial_t$  is the standard time-translation generator.

*Term 2.*

$$[\gamma c^2 x^0 \partial_x, -i\hbar \partial_x] = 0.$$

*Term 3.*

$$[\Phi_n \partial_x, -i\hbar \partial_x] = -i\hbar (\partial_x \Phi_n) \partial_x$$

by the Leibniz rule.

*Cross terms* with  $\hbar(\partial_x \Phi_n) \hat{P}_n$  vanish because  $\hat{P}_n$  commutes with differential operators.

Therefore:

$$[\hat{M}^{(n)}, \hat{P}_x^{(n)}] = -i\hbar \gamma \partial_t - i\hbar (\partial_x \Phi_n) \partial_x. \quad (64)$$

Taking the topological average and using  $\langle \partial_x \Phi_n \rangle = 0$  [23]:  $\langle [\hat{M}, \hat{P}_x] \rangle = -i\hbar \gamma \partial_t = i\langle \hat{P}_t \rangle$ , which is (59).

**Equation (60).**

$$[\hat{M}^{(n)}, \hat{P}_t^{(n)}] = [\gamma x^1 \partial_t + \gamma c^2 x^0 \partial_x + \Phi_n \partial_x, i\hbar \partial_t + \hbar(\partial_t \Phi_n) \hat{P}_n].$$

The leading term:  $[\gamma c^2 x^0 \partial_x, i\hbar \partial_t]$ :

$$\gamma c^2 x^0 \partial_x (i\hbar \partial_t f) = i\hbar \gamma c^2 x^0 \partial_x \partial_t f,$$

$$i\hbar \partial_t (\gamma c^2 x^0 \partial_x f) = i\hbar \gamma c^2 \partial_x f + i\hbar \gamma c^2 x^0 \partial_t \partial_x f.$$

Therefore:

$$[\gamma c^2 x^0 \partial_x, i\hbar \partial_t] = i\hbar \gamma c^2 \partial_x,$$

giving (60) after averaging.

**Equation (61).**

$$[\hat{P}_x^{(n)}, \hat{P}_t^{(n)}] = [-i\hbar \partial_x + \hbar(\partial_x \Phi_n) \hat{P}_n, i\hbar \partial_t + \hbar(\partial_t \Phi_n) \hat{P}_n].$$

The standard terms commute:

$$[-i\hbar \partial_x, i\hbar \partial_t] = 0.$$

Cross terms:

$$[-i\hbar\partial_x, \hbar(\partial_t\Phi_n)\hat{P}_n] = -i\hbar^2(\partial_x\partial_t\Phi_n)\hat{P}_n.$$

The topological average of  $\partial_x\partial_t\Phi_n$  vanishes by the same symmetry argument as for  $\langle\partial_x\Phi_n\rangle$ , giving (61).

**The  $\kappa$ -Poincaré correction (63).** The variance of the correction term in (62):

$$\langle\delta C_n^2\rangle = \hbar^2\langle(\partial_x\Phi_n)^2\rangle\langle\partial_x^2\rangle \sim \hbar^2 \cdot \frac{\gamma^4 v^2}{c^4} \sigma_\Delta^2 \cdot \hat{P}_x^2/\hbar^2, \quad (65)$$

where  $\sigma_\Delta^2 = \langle\tilde{\Delta}_n^2\rangle \sim \epsilon^2$  (from the distribution of fold velocities, established in [23]). Using  $\gamma \sim \gamma_{\text{crit}}$  and  $v \sim c$ :

$$\langle\delta C_n^2\rangle \sim \gamma_{\text{crit}}^4 \epsilon^2 \hat{P}_x^2/c^4 \sim \hat{P}_x^2/\kappa^2,$$

using  $\kappa = \gamma_{\text{crit}}/c$  and  $\gamma_{\text{crit}}^2 \epsilon^2 \sim (\gamma_{\text{crit}} \epsilon)^2 \sim \ell_0^2/c^2 \sim 1/\kappa^2$  from (??).  $\square$

**Remark 7.2** (Comparison with the  $\kappa$ -Poincaré literature). *The  $\kappa$ -Poincaré algebra [1] has the deformed commutator  $[M_{0i}, P_j] = i\delta_{ij}(\kappa(1 - e^{-P_0/\kappa}) + P_k^2/(2\kappa))$ . At first order in  $1/\kappa$ :  $[M_{0i}, P_j] \approx i\delta_{ij}(P_0 + P_k^2/(2\kappa))$ . The leading term  $iP_0$  is recovered in Theorem 7.1 as  $i\langle\hat{P}_t\rangle$ . The  $O(1/\kappa)$  correction  $P_k^2/(2\kappa)$  corresponds to the variance (63), with the precise coefficient requiring the full co-product computation of the  $\kappa$ -Poincaré Hopf algebra, to be carried out in a dedicated paper.*

## 8 The Planck Length from Non-Injectivity

**Theorem 8.1** (Planck Length from Multi-Sheet Geometry). *The Planck length arises as:*

$$\ell_P = \frac{c}{\kappa} = \frac{c}{\gamma_{\text{crit}}^{\text{holo}}/c} = \frac{c^2}{\gamma_{\text{crit}}^{\text{holo}}}. \quad (66)$$

*This is not a circular definition:  $\gamma_{\text{crit}}^{\text{holo}}$  is fixed by the number of sheets  $N$  (which equals the number of Planck areas on the cosmological horizon), and  $\ell_P$  emerges as the ratio  $c^2/\gamma_{\text{crit}}^{\text{holo}}$  without being postulated.*

*Proof.* From Lemma ??:  $\gamma_{\text{crit}}^{\text{holo}} \sim L_H/\ell_P$ . Substituting into (66):  $\ell_P \sim c^2\ell_P/L_H$ , which gives  $L_H \sim c^2$  in natural units  $\ell_P = 1$ . This is the standard Hubble-Planck relation in natural units. The self-consistency confirms that  $\ell_P$  emerges from the framework without external input: the only physical input is  $\Lambda_{\text{obs}} \sim 1/L_H^2$  (the observed cosmological constant).  $\square$

**Remark 8.2** (Newton's constant). *Since  $\ell_P^2 = \hbar G/c^3$ , the Planck length relation gives  $G \sim c^3\ell_P^2/\hbar$ . Combining with  $\ell_P \sim c^2/\gamma_{\text{crit}}$ :*

$$G \sim \frac{c^7}{\hbar\gamma_{\text{crit}}^2}. \quad (67)$$

*This provides a geometric expression for Newton's constant. A complete derivation from the worldline geometry is left to future work, in analogy with the situation in all existing theories of emergent gravity [12, 13, 14].*

## 9 Experimental Predictions

### 9.1 Modified dispersion relation

The  $\kappa$ -Minkowski structure gives an energy-momentum relation:

$$E^2 = p^2 c^2 + m^2 c^4 + \frac{\eta}{\kappa} p^3 c + O(1/\kappa^2), \quad (68)$$

with  $\eta = O(1)$  not fixed by the present paper. This produces velocity dispersion  $\delta v/c \sim \eta E/(m_P c^2)$  for ultra-relativistic particles.

Current limits from Fermi-LAT on GRB photon arrival times [6]:  $|\eta| \lesssim 1$  at the Planck scale. The Cherenkov Telescope Array (CTA) will improve sensitivity by one to two orders of magnitude.

### 9.2 Minimum length and uncertainty

The GUP (28) implies a minimum measurable length:

$$\Delta X_{\min} = \sqrt{2\pi} \ell_P \approx 2.51 \ell_P. \quad (69)$$

The coefficient  $\sqrt{2\pi}$  is a precise prediction of the framework (fixed by the fold stability condition  $\Delta\Phi_{\min} = 2\pi$ ) and distinguishes this model from other GUP proposals in the literature.

### 9.3 Equivalence principle violation

The companion paper [25] predicts:

$$\frac{\Delta a}{g} \sim \frac{|\bar{\lambda}_C^{(1)} - \bar{\lambda}_C^{(2)}|}{\pi L} \sim 10^{-13} \quad (70)$$

for proton-electron comparisons at  $L = 1$  m, testable by STE-QUEST [10].

### 9.4 Gravitational wave dispersion

The  $\kappa$ -Poincaré structure modifies GW propagation:

$$v_{\text{GW}}(f) = c \left( 1 - \xi \frac{hf}{m_P c^2} \right), \quad (71)$$

constrainable by LISA [8] at the Planck scale.

## 10 Connection to the TPST–DGQ Framework

The universal identity  $N(\epsilon) \cdot \epsilon^{d-2} = O(1)$  now operates at eight levels:

Level	UV-divergent object	Regularised result
Holography	RT area $\sim \epsilon^{-(d-2)}$	$S_{\text{DG}} = O(1)$
Classical EM	Coulomb energy $\sim \epsilon^{-(d-2)}$	$\langle \mathcal{E} \rangle = O(1)$
Quantum mechanics	Intersection density	$ \psi ^2 = O(1)$
Thermodynamics	Single-sheet entropy	$S_{\text{top}} \geq 0$
EM fields	$\delta F^{(n)} \sim \epsilon^{d-2}$	$\langle F \rangle = F^{\text{std}}$
Gravity	$\Lambda_{\text{bare}} \sim \epsilon^{-2}$	$\Lambda_{\text{obs}} = O(1)$
Statistics	Exchange amplitude	PEP: $\mathcal{A} = 0$
Noncommutativity	$1/\kappa \sim \ell_0/N$	$\kappa = m_P$ (fixed)

## 11 Conclusions

We have derived the GUP, the  $\kappa$ -Minkowski spacetime, and the  $\kappa$ -Poincaré algebra from worldline non-injectivity.

**GUP.**  $[\hat{X}^1, \hat{P}_x] = i\hbar(1 - 2\pi p^2/(m_P^2 c^2))$ , with coefficient  $\beta = 2\pi$  fixed by fold stability. Minimum length:  $\Delta X_{\min} = \sqrt{2\pi} \ell_P \approx 2.51 \ell_P$ .

**$\kappa$ -Minkowski.**  $[\hat{X}^0, \hat{X}^1] = (i/\kappa)\hat{X}^1$  with  $\kappa = \gamma_{\text{crit}}/c = m_P$  in natural units, fixed by the cosmological cutoff  $\epsilon = \ell_P/L_H$ .

**$\kappa$ -Poincaré.** The ELT generator commutators reproduce Poincaré at leading order with  $O(1/\kappa^2)$  corrections consistent with  $\kappa$ -Poincaré.

**Planck length.**  $\ell_P = c^2/\gamma_{\text{crit}}$ , emerging from the multi-sheet structure without external input.

The central identity:

$$\text{Non-injectivity} \iff \text{Finite physics at every level.} \quad (72)$$

## Declarations

**Conflict of Interest.** The author declares no conflicts of interest.

**Data Availability.** No datasets were generated.

**Funding.** No external funding was received.

**AI Assistance.** The author acknowledges the use of AI-based tools (Claude by Anthropic) exclusively for L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X formatting and structural editing. All scientific content, physical interpretations, and mathematical derivations are the original work of the author.

## References

- [1] J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki, H. Ruegg, and V. N. Tolstoy, *q-deformation of Poincaré algebra*, Phys. Lett. B **264**, 331 (1991).
- [2] S. Majid and H. Ruegg, *Bicrossproduct structure of  $\kappa$ -Poincaré group and non-commutative geometry*, Phys. Lett. B **334**, 348 (1994).
- [3] M. Maggiore, *A generalised uncertainty principle in quantum gravity*, Phys. Lett. B **304**, 65 (1993).
- [4] A. Kempf, G. Mangano, and R. B. Mann, *Hilbert space representation of the minimal length uncertainty relation*, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 1108 (1995).
- [5] G. Amelino-Camelia and T. Trzesniewski, *Relativistic and  $\kappa$ -Poincaré-covariant kinematics*, Phys. Lett. B **722**, (2013).
- [6] A. A. Abramowski et al. (Fermi LAT), *Fermi observations of GRB 080916C*, Science **323**, 1688 (2009).
- [7] R. S. Decca et al., *Constraints on Yukawa-type deviations from Newtonian gravity*, Ann. Phys. **318**, 37 (2005).
- [8] P. Amaro-Seoane et al. (LISA), *Laser Interferometer Space Antenna*, arXiv:1702.00786 (2017).
- [9] P. Touboul et al., *MICROSCOPE Mission: First Results*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 231101 (2017).
- [10] STE-QUEST Collaboration, *STE-QUEST*, Exp. Astron. **54**, 1043 (2022).
- [11] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, *Holographic Derivation of Entanglement Entropy*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 181602 (2006).
- [12] A. D. Sakharov, *Vacuum quantum fluctuations and gravitation*, Sov. Phys. Dokl. **12**, 1040 (1968).
- [13] T. Jacobson, *Thermodynamics of Spacetime*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1260 (1995).
- [14] E. Verlinde, *On the Origin of Gravity*, JHEP **2011**(04), 029 (2011).
- [15] S. Doplicher, K. Fredenhagen, and J. E. Roberts, *The quantum structure of spacetime at the Planck scale*, Commun. Math. Phys. **172**, 187 (1995).
- [16] G. Amelino-Camelia, *Quantum-Gravity Phenomenology*, Living Rev. Relativity **16**, 5 (2013).
- [17] A. De Giuseppe, *Worldline Non-Injectivity as a Necessary and Sufficient Condition for the Emergence of Holographic Spacetime*, Preprint (2026).
- [18] A. De Giuseppe, *Lorentz Transformations beyond Injectivity: The Ziegelstein Gedankenexperiment*, Preprint (2026).
- [19] A. De Giuseppe, *The De Giuseppe Multi-Sheet Topological Qubit*, Preprint (2026).



- [20] A. De Giuseppe, *Quantum Mechanics as Topological Intersection Theory*, Preprint (2026).
- [21] A. De Giuseppe, *Topological Entropy from Worldline Non-Injectivity*, Preprint (2026).
- [22] A. De Giuseppe, *Mirror Reflection in Multi-Sheet Spacetime*, Preprint (2026).
- [23] A. De Giuseppe, *Electromagnetic Fields in Multi-Sheet Spacetime*, Preprint (2026).
- [24] A. De Giuseppe, *Holographic Extension of the TPST*, Preprint (2026).
- [25] A. De Giuseppe, *Tidal Forces, the Equivalence Principle, and Einstein Equations in de Sitter Spacetime*, Preprint (2026).
- [26] A. De Giuseppe, *The Pauli Exclusion Principle and Spin-Statistics from Worldline Non-Injectivity*, Preprint (2026).